The Automation Imperative:

Why Planning Teams Must Stop Preparing Data and Start Making Decisions

Jose Antony, Founder, Trinity Solutions LLC
March 30, 2026

A Strategic Advisory Paper for Supply Chain Leadership
Aligned with ASCM | SCOR DS | IBP | AI Orchestration Frameworks

Executive Summary

There's something we should know about most of the planning activities in our organizations. Our "best" employees (most experienced) are not doing planning. They are cleaning data. Matching spreadsheet information. Finding discrepancies between the ERP extract, and the spreadsheet created three years ago. In many cases, by Monday morning's S&OP meeting, the plan they develop is outdated - already 2 days old - and then spend the next hour of the S&OP meeting trying to decide if anyone can even trust it. This is not a planning process; this is a data entry group with a planning role.

According to Deloitte, there exists a "manual supply chain paradox." The more talented and skilled our workforce, the more painful it is to see them performing tasks that computers and other machines could easily accomplish.

As stated by McKinsey in their 2025 report, planners often utilize approximately 70% of their time gathering, preparing, and integrating data into the planning framework -- which leaves minimal time for making strategic assessments and cross-functionally collaborating on decisions that they were brought in to perform.

BOARD IMPERATIVE	*For most organizations today, the limitation to decision-making speed within supply chains is no longer data availability. Decision velocity is slowed down significantly by manual processes that simply have no place having a human involved in those processes.*

Three different factors are causing 2025 to become the year boards cannot continue to put off acting. The ASCM SCOR Digital Standards provided the supply chain industry with its first true AI-Ready planning framework - a standards based, independent audit ready planning framework. AI orchestration platforms have moved beyond pilot phase testing to demonstrated enterprise level deployments. And due to the volatile market conditions of recent years, the cost associated with making reactive decisions has increased substantially -- resulting in a structural disadvantage for slow reacting supply chains related to margin compression, working capital erosion, and customer loss.

Organizations that begin to implement these technologies today will establish themselves as leaders of the competitive landscape for the decade ahead. Organizations that fail to implement these technologies will be attempting to follow the leaders.

I. The Data Trap: Diagnosing the Manual Supply Chain

If we wish to identify why our supply chain decisions are slow, do not begin with our technology. Begin with how our planning team actually uses their week. According to McKinsey's 2025 cognitive planning research, as much as 70% of all planner effort can be spent gathering, preparing, and reconciling data

prior to utilizing it in a planning capacity. Also according to Gartner's projections, by 2026 companies that have failed to automate their data preparation efforts will experience a 30% structural disadvantage in terms of forecast accuracy compared to peer companies that have successfully automated their data preparation efforts. That is not marginal under-performance. That is a barrier -- and one owned by our competitor.

70%

of planning capacity consumed by data preparation, not decision-making — leaving almost no time for strategy

McKinsey Global Institute, 2025

There is no single reason why the manual supply chain continues to exist. It is an operating model that was developed during a time when data was scarce and there were no other options than to have humans reconcile disparate data points into a single view of reality. However, that environment does not exist anymore. Yet, the model still exists -- producing three compounding errors that each CSCO knows too well:

DECISION LATENCY	HUMAN CAPITAL MISALLOCATION	ILLUSION OF CONTROL
Data is cleansed after the context of the business has already changed. S&OP inputs are 48-72 hours stale before the meeting begins. Slow cycle means missed opportunities to intervene	Planners that possess extensive experience are relegated to operational data management roles. Cross functional strategic thinking is supplanted by maintaining read-only spreadsheets. Talent is at risk -- retention is cited as the greatest long term threat facing organizations by ASCM	Spreadsheet based precision masks inherent data governance issues related to structure and process. Manual adjustments add untracked variability. Working capital increases as safety stocks increase uncertainty

As noted in BCG's 2024 Resilience Study -- organizations with high levels of manual planning dependency carry inventory 22% higher than organizations enabled by AI-based forecasting methods -- not because they are poorly managed -- but because the only hedge available when forecasts cannot be relied upon is through the use of additional inventory (buffer stock).

In addition, according to Gartner's 2026 Supply Chain Executive Survey -- automating data preparation and orchestration improves decision cycles by 30-50%, reduces planner workload by 20-40%, and yields a 2-4% improvement in EBITDA.

For most organizations today, manual planning is no longer inefficient. It is a competitive disadvantage.

II. The ASCM Evolution: From SCOR to Cognitive Orchestration

The most important aspect of convincing a skeptical board to invest in AI-enabled planning is this: AI-enabled planning is not a departure from traditional methodologies. Rather it represents the natural progression/evolution of those same methodologies. We are not abandoning SCOR or IBP. We are allowing them to operate in accordance with what they were always intended to achieve.

ASCM ALIGNMENT	*SCOR DS provides the structure/infrastructure. IBP provides the operating model. AI orchestration provides the engine. Together they represent the complete picture that ASCM has been developing toward -- a supply chain that records what occurred in addition to continually sensing, deciding, and acting.*

ASCM's SCOR Digital Standard already establishes the principles for end-to-end visibility, data governance, standardized processes and performance driven orchestration.

AI and automation extend these principles by shortening the time it takes for data to be delivered, by automating repeated activities, and by producing predictive and prescriptive insights – while never taking away the ability for humans to make judgments based upon their own experience and knowledge that are critical to IBP.

What IBP brings into this picture is the Governance Layer: alignment across departments, decision making through scenario analysis, financial integration, and Executive Oversight.

AI enables each of these layers to operate more effectively than ever before – creating more scenarios faster, developing probabilistic forecasts, showing trade offs, and enabling profit driven optimization.

The architectural shift from Systems of Record (that capture transactions) to Systems of Decision (that provide recommendations and execute decisions), and then present exceptions to the appropriate human in real-time is the underlying theme.

The Three Technical Pillars

These three abilities implement the shift — each can be mapped to specific elements of SCOR DS processes, and each supports each other:

Digital Twins provide us a continuous, very accurate picture of our actual physical supply network. Rather than testing hypotheticals in a spreadsheet after the event has occurred, we test them continuously against real-time operating information using a digital twin.

A disruption at a port; a demand increase; a delayed shipment — the twin models affect this before we get it in an e-mail. Gartner believes 50% of large enterprises will have deployed supply chain digital twins by 2026. Early adopters have reported that their ability to withstand disturbances improved by 15-35%.

Autonomous Planning does not mean "lights out." Autonomous planning provides automated ingestion of data, automated identification of exceptions, automated creation of hypotheticals, and automated recommendations — while humans continue to make the calls that require their judgment. If there is a demand fluctuation, the machine re-optimizes our positionings for inventory, changes our procurement triggers, and surfaces exceptions for human evaluation prior to the beginning of our next weekly cycle. Our planners are not being displaced. They are being freed up.

Adaptive and Resilient Networks are the ultimate strategic result of the first two pillars. Gartner refers to this as the Adaptive Supply Chain — a network that continually senses, predicts, and reacts to change. According to BCG, networks instrumented with AI (as opposed to those that are manually controlled) returned from severe disruptions at a rate 3.5 times faster than their manual counterparts. That is a competitive advantage in terms of recovery speed in a world of increasing structural volatility.

III. The Case for Action: Numbers the Board Will Recognize

The business case is no longer theoretical. The following are some numbers -- based on companies that did not want to overstate anything about what was happening:

3×	**2–4%**	**40–60%**	**30–40%**
Faster planning cycles with AI orchestration	EBITDA improvement from planning automation	Increase in planner productivity	Reduction in disruption impact via cognitive planning
BCG, 2026	*Gartner, 2026*	*McKinsey, 2024*	*McKinsey, 2025*

In addition to the P&L, there are three areas where the advantages of the use of technology to improve resiliency accumulate -- all of which boards monitor independently, but which are linked together in reality. Resilience: Networks that are instrumented with AI can recover from disruptions 3.5 times faster than non-AI networks -- not due to good luck, but rather because they see further ahead and react earlier.

Sustainability: Reduced carbon footprint and waste are directly related to reduced energy usage/transportation costs -- as well as reduced packaging requirements -- as a result of optimizing routes and inventory placement.

Talent: A Deloitte study on human-machine collaboration in 2025 found that planners who shifted their focus from preparing data to making decisions had significantly higher levels of job satisfaction, less burnout, and felt they were making a much greater strategic contribution to their organization than previously. ASCM ranks talent retention as the top long-term risk for supply chain organizations.

Providing our most talented people with work worth doing is not a soft benefit. It is a way to mitigate a structural risk.

HBR published its findings regarding cognitive automation in enterprise planning in 2024 and called it the Cognitive Planning Dividend -- the quantifiable value generated when human judgment is applied to problems that truly require it.

The opposite is also true: applying human judgment to resolving discrepancies in column totals generates nothing. The issue is merely whether our planners are currently spending their time doing one or the other.

IV. The Roadmap: From Data Preparers to Decision Makers

To accomplish the required level of transformation, there cannot be a singular platform deployment. Rather, it occurs sequentially as the organization develops its ability to plan autonomously based upon a solid foundation; at the rate the organization is able to absorb new functionality. Below is a three phase roadmap intended for organizations currently at IBP Stage 2 or above on the ASCM Maturity Model seeking to achieve autonomous planning capability within an eighteen to twenty-four month timeframe.

PHASE	ACTION PLAN	MEASURABLE OUTCOME
Phase 1 Digital Trust Foundation (0–6 Months)	For automation to occur, the data used must first be trusted. In order to do so, we need to create a single unified Supply Chain Data Model (aligned with SCOR DS standards), provide fully-automated ingestion and reconciliation of all data, and develop Master Data Governance throughout all ERP, WMS, TMS, Demand Systems. If a foundation does not exist, then automation increases error versus decreasing it.	A single source of truth that planners trust. Established baseline KPI's include: Forecast Accuracy, Planning Cycle Time, Inventory Turns, Planner Time-On-Value-Add. Target: reduce manual preparation of data by 30%-50%.
Phase 2 Human-Led, AI-Assisted Planning (6–15 Months)	Implement ML-based demand sensing and AI-driven inventory optimization using Digital Twin Scenario Simulation. Redesign planner roles around exception management and commercial decision making instead of preparing data. Integrate into the IBP Governance Cadence. Planners govern outcomes - the system produces the analyses.	Planning teams will spend greater than 70% of their time in Decision-Support/Exception Management. A live Digital Twin exists for scenario simulation. IBP cycle powered by Automated Data Synthesis. Planning cycles are 3x faster with measurable decision quality improvements.

Phase 3 Enterprise Decision Orchestration (15–24 Months)	Establish closed-loop autonomous execution for predefined planning domains (replenishment, inbound logistics scheduling, deployment optimization) and establish Human-In-The-Loop Governance for Strategic Exception Classes. Establish Sustainability KPI alignment with AI Optimization Objectives according to ASCM ESG Standards. This represents the Beyond SCOR Layer.	Decision Velocity and Resilience at Scale. Documented Escalation Protocols for Autonomous Planning Loops. Financial Planning Integration with Profit-Driven Optimization. Continuous Improvement Engine Benchmarked against SCOR DS KPI's. Realize 2-4% EBITDA Improvements.

There is one principle that is non-negotiable throughout each of these three phases: Humans Govern AI. All Autonomous Planning Systems must operate within Human Defined Boundaries, utilizing documented Escalation Paths, Auditability Standards and True Override Capabilities. The goal here is not to remove humans from the supply chain - it is to place them back in the areas which benefit from Judgment, Context and Commercial Experience. Not those that do not.

V. Conclusion: A Call to Leadership

Supply Chains defining the next decade are being created today. They are not being developed by the organizations with the largest IT Budgets -- but rather by the organizations where the CSCO, COO, CEO has evaluated their Planning Function and made a deliberate, intentional choice. The difficult task is not the Technology. The Platforms are Proven. SCOR DS establishes the Framework. IBP provides the Operating Model. The Three Phase Roadmap exists within our control

LEADERSHIP CHALLENGE	*Each week that our Planning Team devotes to Reconciling Spreadsheets is another week they are not developing the Scenario Intelligence, Supplier Relationships and Commercial Judgment necessary to Protect Our Margins, Customers and Competitive Position. This is the true and compounding cost of inaction.*

No Framework can Provide Conviction to Start -- nor Discipline to Complete -- the Transformation. This Transition Does Not Happen to Organizations -- it Occurs Because Leaders Choose it. There Must Be a CSCO Willing to Make the Board Case in the Language of ROIC, Resilience and Talent Risk. A COO Who Will Own Process Design -- Not Just the Rollout of Technology. A CEO Who advocates That in a World of Permanent Disruption -- Decision Velocity is a Strategic Asset -- And Right Now for Most Organizations, that Asset Exists Inside a Spreadsheet.

The Future of Supply Chain Planning is Not About Better Spreadsheets. It is About Making Better Decisions. The Organizations Which Automate Repetitive Tasks, Elevate Human Judgement and Develop

Truly Adaptive Networks will Outperform in Volatility, Respond Faster to Market Shifts and Unlock the Full Potential of Their Supply Chain Talent.

The Automation Imperative is Not a Warning -- it is an Invitation to Guide Our Organizations Through this Transformation.

Work With Trinity Solutions

Trinity Solutions LLC implements the Digital Trust Foundation™ as the entry point of every Trinity Planning Framework™ programme — assessing your data maturity, designing your roadmap, and building governance, integration, and security foundations using Microsoft technologies you already own.

trinitysolutionsglobal.com | Insight. Intelligence. Impact.

REFERENCES

- McKinsey Global Institute (2023, 2024, 2025). AI-Enabled Supply Chain Planning: Productivity and Value Creation. McKinsey & Company.
- Boston Consulting Group (2024, 2026). Supply Chain Resilience and Autonomous Planning Maturity. BCG Henderson Institute.
- Gartner (2024, 2026). Gartner Predicts Supply Chain Technology: Adaptive Supply Chain and Decision Orchestration. Gartner Research.
- Deloitte Insights (2024, 2025). The Future of IBP and Human-Machine Collaboration in Supply Chain. Deloitte Consulting LLP.
- Harvard Business Review (2024). The Cognitive Planning Dividend: How AI Transforms Supply Chain Decision-Making. HBR Press.
- ASCM (2024–2026). SCOR Digital Standard (SCOR DS) Version 4.0 and IBP Body of Knowledge. Association for Supply Chain Management.
- ASCM (2024). State of the Supply Chain Industry Report. Association for Supply Chain Management.